

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/942,678	Applicant(s) YAMAGUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Nikolas J. Uhler	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:

(1) Nikolas J. Uhler.

(2) Julie Seaman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

all cited


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to discuss a proposed amendment that would remove a new matter issue and render the claims allowable over the prior art. Specifically, the examiner and the applicant's representative discussed changing the lower limit of the claimed I_b/I_f ratio from 0.375 to 0.37. The applicant's representative agreed to this change. .
